

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018395

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int.Cl<sup>7</sup> G02B7/08

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
Int.Cl<sup>7</sup> G02B7/08Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1940-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2004  
Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2004

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 11-275897 A (Canon Inc.), 08 October, 1999 (08.10.99), Full text; Figs. 1 to 19 & US 6118945 A	1-30
A	JP 63-237040 A (Asahi Optical Co., Ltd.), 03 October, 1988 (03.10.88), Full text; Figs. 1 to 6 & US 4897683 A	9-16, 23-30
A	JP 5-313055 A (Canon Inc.), 26 November, 1993 (26.11.93), Full text; Figs. 1 to 18 (Family: none)	9-13, 16, 23-27, 30

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"&"	document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 08 March, 2005 (08.03.05)	Date of mailing of the international search report 22 March, 2005 (22.03.05)
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office	Authorized officer
Faxsimile No.	Telephone No.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018395

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 11-223757 A (Canon Inc.), 17 August, 1999 (17.08.99), Full text; Figs. 1 to 6 (Family: none)	14-16, 28-30

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018395

**Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)**

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
  
3.  Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

**Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)**

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

The inventions of claims 1-16 and the inventions of claims 17-30 are considered to involve a common technical feature and categorized into one invention group. However, no special technical feature common to the inventions of claims 31-40, the inventions of claims 41-48, the invention of claim 49, the inventions of claims 50-56, the inventions of claims 57-60, the invention of claim 61, and the inventions of claims 63-67 can be seen in relation to the inventions of claims 1-16. Therefore, the inventions do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.

Claim 62 lacks a passage  
(continued to extra sheet.)

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-30

**Remark on Protest**

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/JP2004/018395

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet(2)

corresponding to "the above-mentioned" stated in the claim. Therefore, it is considered that although the claim must refer to another claim, it fails to refer to another claim. Consequently, the invention of claim 62 is categorized into the invention group of claim 61.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY